UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,058	10/10/2001	Toshio Sakurai	35.C15866	5036
5514 FITZPATRICI	7590 09/06/2007 K CELLA HARPER & SC	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			MILIA, MARK R	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			, MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/973,058	SAKURAI, TOSHIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark R. Milia	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ly 2007.					
· _ · · .	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/9/07 has been entered. Currently, claims 1-12 and 14-16 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant asserts that Wakasugi (US 5,961,616) does not disclose or suggest at least the features of fetching information input from an external apparatus, after an elapse of a predetermined time, in accordance with a change in information input from the external apparatus, and in accordance with a determination that the information fetched is not the same as information fetched a previous time, outputting the fetched information, and in accordance with a determination that the information fetched is the same as the information fetched by the previous time, not outputting the

fetched information. The examiner respectfully disagrees as Wakasugi does disclose such features. Particularly, Wakasugi states that transferred data (current and previous) **D0** to **D7** is stored and compared to determine coincidence (see column 11 lines 32-40). Further, Wakasugi states that spike noises cause the contents of the transferred data to change for a brief interval (see column 11 lines 18-27) and the contents of the transferred data is updated in synchronism with the rising edge of the internal strobe signal, which is indicative of spike noise (see column 11 lines 48-56). Therefore, currently transferred data **D0** to **D7** is compared to previous transferred data **D0** to **D7** to detect a change in the transferred data and ultimately eliminate noise or otherwise erroneous data, which is the invention set forth by the applicant.

Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-12 and 14-16, as set forth in the Final Office Action dated 3/8/07, is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakasugi (US 5961616).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Wakasugi discloses an interface apparatus and information processing method for inputting information from an external apparatus, comprising: a first circuit for, in accordance with a change in information input from the

Art Unit: 2625

external apparatus, fetching information input from the external apparatus, after an elapse of a predetermined time (see Figs. 10-12 and column 11 lines 17-47) and a second circuit for determining whether the information fetched by the first circuit is the same as information fetched by the first circuit a previous time, and in accordance with a determination that the information fetched by the first circuit is not the same as the information fetched by the first circuit the previous time, outputting the fetched information, wherein in accordance with a determination that the information fetched by the first circuit is the same as the information fetched by the first circuit the previous time, the second circuit does not output the fetched information (see Figs. 7-12 and column 9 line 40-column 13 line 4).

Regarding claim 14, Wakasugi discloses a data change detector for detecting a change in information input from the external apparatus and outputting a reset upon the detection of the change (see Fig. 10 (10) and column 3 line 65-column 4 line 10), a timer for inputting the reset output by said change detector and outputting a trigger after the elapse of a predetermined time from the input of the reset (see Fig. 12 and column 12 lines 14-65), a data latch for inputting the trigger output by said timer and fetching information upon the input of the trigger (see Fig. 10, column 9 lines 40-63, and column 10 line 61-column 11 line 16), and a logical filter for determining whether the information fetched by said latch is the same as information fetched by said latch a previous time, and in accordance with a determination that the information fetched information, and wherein, in accordance with a determination that the information that the information

fetched by said latch is the same as information fetched by said latch a previous time, said logical filter does not output the fetched information (see Figs. 7-12 and column 9 line 40-column 13 line 4).

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Wakasugi further discloses a data change detector for outputting a reset in the case where there is a change in the information input from the external apparatus (see Fig. 10 (10) and column 3 line 65-column 4 line 10), a timer for inputting the reset output by the change detector and outputting a trigger after the elapse of a predetermined time from the input of the reset (see Fig. 12 and column 12 lines 14-65), a data latch for inputting the trigger output by said timer and fetching the information input from the external apparatus in accordance with the input of the trigger (see Fig. 10, column 9 lines 40-63, and column 10 line 61-column 11 line 16).

Regarding claim 4, Wakasugi further discloses wherein the information which is inputted from the external apparatus is inputted to the first circuit and the information fetched by said first circuit is input to the second circuit (see Fig. 10 and column 11 line 17-column 12 line 65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakasugi in view of Motoyama (US 5818603).

Art Unit: 2625

Wakasugi discloses a first circuit for, in accordance with a change in information input from an external apparatus, fetching information input from the external apparatus, after an elapse of a predetermined time (see Figs. 10-12 and column 11 lines 17-47) and a second circuit for determining whether the information fetched by the first circuit is the same as information fetched by the first circuit a previous time, and in accordance with a determination that the information fetched by said first circuit is not the same as information fetched by the first circuit a previous time, outputting the fetched information, and wherein, in accordance with a determination that the information fetched by the first circuit is the same as information fetched by the first circuit a previous time, the second circuit does not output the fetched information (see Figs. 7-12 and column 9 line 40-column 13 line 4).

Wakasugi does not disclose expressly a printer engine for printing the information output by the second circuit.

Motoyama discloses a printer engine for printing the information output by the second circuit (see Fig. 1, column 3 lines 56-57, column 7 lines 33-49, and column 8 lines 36-44).

Wakasugi & Motoyama are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, data monitoring and transmission.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine a printer engine for printing, as described by Motoyama, with the system of Wakasugi.

Art Unit: 2625

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to ensure the ability to properly communicate data between a host apparatus and an output device (i.e. printer) by eliminating noise from the transferred data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Motoyama with Wakasugi to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5 and 10.

7. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto (US 5831683) in view of Wakasugi.

Regarding claim 15, Matsumoto discloses an interface apparatus for inputting information from an external apparatus, comprising: a timer for timing a predetermined time (see Fig. 1 and column 4 lines 22-39) and a comparator for making a comparison between a length of a low level state in information input from the external apparatus within the predetermined time timed by said timer, and a length of a high level state in the information within the predetermined time, and for outputting a low level signal if the comparison shows that the length of the low level state is longer than the length of the high level state, and outputting a high level signal if the comparison shows that the length of the high level state is longer than the length of the low level state (see Figs. 1, 4, and 5 and column 5 line 23-column 6 line 23).

Matsumoto does not disclose expressly a logical filter for determining whether information indicated by the signal output by said comparator is the same as information indicated by a signal output by said comparator a previous time, and in accordance with a determination that the information indicated by the signal by said comparator is not

the same as information indicated by the signal output by said comparator a previous time, outputting the indicated information, and wherein, in accordance with a determination that the information indicated by the signal output by said comparator is the same as information indicated by the signal output by said comparator a previous time, said logical filter does not output the indicated information.

Wakasugi discloses a logical filter for determining whether information indicated by the signal output by said comparator is the same as information indicated by a signal output by said comparator a previous time, and in accordance with a determination that the information indicated by the signal by said comparator is not the same as information indicated by the signal output by said comparator a previous time, outputting the indicated information, and wherein, in accordance with a determination that the information indicated by the signal output by said comparator is the same as information indicated by the signal output by said comparator is the same as information indicated by the signal output by said comparator a previous time, said logical filter does not output the indicated information (see Figs. 7-12 and column 9 line 40-column 13 line 4).

Matsumoto & Wakasugi are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, comparison of data for device control.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the detection of coincidence of information used to determine output, as described by Wakasugi, with the system of Wakasugi.

Art Unit: 2625

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to ensure the ability to properly communicate data between a host apparatus and an output device (i.e. printer) by eliminating noise from a transmission.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Wakasugi with Matsumoto to obtain the invention as specified in claim 15.

Regarding claim 16, Matsumoto further discloses wherein said timer outputs a trigger after an elapse of the predetermined time from a delimiter existing in the input information, and said comparator inputs the trigger and makes the comparison in accordance with the trigger (see Fig. 1, column 4 lines 22-39, and column 4 line 62-column 6 line 23).

8. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakasugi as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Motoyama (US 5818603).

Wakasugi does not disclose expressly wherein the external apparatus forms information such that information is non-continuous information.

Motoyama discloses wherein the external apparatus forms information such that information is non-continuous information (see column 4 lines 15-19, column 6 line 63-column 7 line 3, column 7 line 24-column 8 line 44, and column 11 lines 6-49).

Wakasugi & Motoyama are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, data monitoring and transmission.

Art Unit: 2625

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine non-continuous transfer of information, as described by Motoyama, and which is well known in the art of printing, with the system of Wakasugi.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to ensure the ability to properly communicate data between a host apparatus and an output device (i.e. printer) by eliminating noise from the transferred data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Motoyama with Wakasugi to obtain the invention as specified in claims 3 and 8.

9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakasugi as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Chapman (US 6175603).

Wakasugi discloses the use of logic and logic filters in the execution of the invention (see column 11 line 17-column 12 line 65).

Wakasugi does not disclose expressly wherein the first step is executed by a glitch noise filter.

Chapman discloses the use of glitch noise filters to filter data information (see column 1 lines 36-59 and column 7 lines 44-53).

Wakasugi & Chapman are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, detection and processing of changes in transmitted information.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the use of a glitch noise filter as described by Chapman with the system of Wakasugi.

Art Unit: 2625

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to accurately filter noise signals from incoming information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chapman with Wakasugi to obtain the invention as specified in claim 9.

10. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakasugi as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Slechta (US 6453272).

Wakasugi does not disclose expressly wherein, if the fetched information continuously repeats a same value, said second circuit skips the fetched information.

Slechta discloses wherein, if the fetched information continuously repeats a same value, said second circuit skips the fetched information (see Fig. 3, column 1 lines 50-52, and column 5 line 62-column 6 line 16, reference shows that when a signal exceeds a predetermined noise threshold a noise filtering process is performed, otherwise the signal is passed unchanged, analogous to the skipping of information that is being repeated).

Wakasugi & Slechta are combinable because they are from detection and processing of changes in transmitted information.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the skipping of continuous information repeating a same value, as described by Slechta, with the system of Wakasugi.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to accurately suppress spurious noise, such as input glitches, without introducing artifacts of conventional low-pass filters (see column 1 lines 42-44 of Slechta), while allowing continuous data to flow quickly and accurately when no noise is present.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Slechta with Wakasugi to obtain the invention as specified in claims 11 and 12.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler M. Lamb can be reached at (571) 272-7406. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia Examiner

Art Unit 2625

MRM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER